arXiv:1104.2992v4 [quant-ph] 12 Oct 2011

Von Neumann Entropy-Preserving Quantum Operations

Lin Zhang and Junde Wu

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, P. R. China

E-mail: linyz@zju.edu.cn, wjunde@126.com, wjd@zju.edu.cn

Abstract. For a given quantum state ρ and two quantum operations Φ and Ψ, the information encoded in the quantum state ρ is quantified by its von Neumann entropy $\mathsf{S}(\rho)$. By the famous Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorphism, the quantum operation Φ can be transformed into a bipartite state, the von Neumann entropy $\mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi)$ of the bipartite state describes the decoherence induced by Φ. In this Letter, we characterize not only the pairs (Φ,ρ) which satisfy $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{S}(\rho)$, but also the pairs (Φ,Ψ) which satisfy $\mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi\circ\Psi) = \mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Psi)$.

Keywords: Quantum state, Quantum operation, von Neumann entropy.

---

1 Introduction

Von Neumann entropy and relative entropy are powerful tools in quantum information theory. Both quantities have a monotonicity property under a certain class of quantum operations and the condition of equality is an interesting and important subject. For example, an extremely important result was obtained in the condition of equality for the celebrated strong subadditivity inequality for the von Neumann entropy [1]. On the other hand, ones use the von Neumann entropy of quantum states to quantify the information encoded in the quantum states, and the map entropy of quantum operations to describe the decoherence induced by the quantum operations [2]. In this Letter, we study von Neumann entropy-preserving quantum dynamical processes. In order to present our results, we need the following notation.

For a given N-dimensional quantum-mechanical system which is represented by an N-dimensional complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a state ρ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a positive semi-definite operator of trace one. If $\rho = \sum_k \lambda_k |u_k\rangle\langle u_k|$ is its spectral decomposition, then the support $\mathrm{supp}(\rho)$ of ρ is defined by $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) = \mathrm{span}\{|u_k\rangle : \lambda_k > 0\}$, and the generalized inverse ρ^{-1} of ρ is defined by $\rho^{-1} = \sum_{k:\lambda_k>0} \lambda_k^{-1}|u_k\rangle\langle u_k|$. The *von Neumann entropy* $\mathsf{S}(\rho)$ of ρ is defined by $\mathsf{S}(\rho) = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho\log_2\rho)$ which quantifies information encoded in the quantum state ρ. If σ is also a quantum state on $\mathcal{H}$, then the *relative entropy* between ρ and σ is defined by $\mathsf{S}(\rho\|\sigma) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(\log_2\rho - \log_2\sigma))$ if $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma)$; $\mathsf{S}(\rho\|\sigma) = +\infty$, otherwise [3, 4].

Let $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. If $X, Y \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\langle X, Y\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(X^\dagger Y)$ defines the *Hilbert-Schmidt inner product* on $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\mathsf{T}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of all linear super-operators from $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$ to itself. $\Lambda \in \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a *completely positive super-operator* if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})} : \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ is positive, where $\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all $k \times k$ complex matrices. It follows from the famous theorem of Choi [5] that every completely positive super-operator Λ has a Kraus representation $\Lambda = \sum_j \mathrm{Ad}_{M_j}$, that is, for every $X \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\Lambda(X) = \sum_{j=1}^n M_j X M_j^\dagger$, where $\{M_j\}_{j=1}^n \subseteq \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $M_j^\dagger$ is the adjoint operator of M_j. It is clear that for the super-operator Λ, there is its *adjoint super-operator* $\Lambda^\dagger \in \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{H})$ such that for $A, B \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\langle\Lambda(A), B\rangle = \langle A, \Lambda^\dagger(B)\rangle$. Moreover, Λ is a completely positive super-operator if and only if $\Lambda^\dagger$ is also a completely positive super-operator.

A so-called *quantum operation* is just a trace non-increasing completely positive super-operator Φ. If Φ is trace-preserving, then it is called *stochastic*; if Φ is stochastic and unit-preserving, then it is called *bi-stochastic*.

Let $\{|i\rangle : i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$. Then $|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N |ii\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is a maximal entangled state on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. The famous *Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorphism* $J : \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ transforms every $\Theta \in \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{H})$ into an operator $J(\Theta) = (\Theta \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})})(|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|)$ of $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ [5]. If Θ is completely positive, then $J(\Theta)$ is a positive semi-define operator, in particular, if Θ is stochastic, then $\frac{1}{N}J(\Theta)$ is a bipartite state on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. For every stochastic quantum operation Φ, we refer to the von Neumann entropy $\mathsf{S}(\frac{1}{N}J(\Phi))$ of the bipartite state $\frac{1}{N}J(\Phi)$ as the map entropy of Φ, and denote it by $\mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi)$, it describes the decoherence induced by the quantum operation Φ [2].

In this Letter, for quantum states ρ and quantum operations Φ and Ψ, we characterize both the pairs (Φ, ρ) which satisfy $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{S}(\rho)$ and the pairs (Φ, Ψ) which satisfy $\mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi \circ \Psi) = \mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Psi)$.

Firstly, we need the following lemmas. For our purpose, Theorem 5.1 in [6] is modified into the following form:

Lemma 1.1. *([6]) Let ρ and σ be two quantum states on $\mathcal{H}$, Φ be a stochastic quantum operation. If* $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma)$, *then*

$$S(\Phi(\rho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) = S(\rho\|\sigma)$$

if and only if $\Phi_\sigma^\dagger \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$, where $\Phi_\sigma^\dagger = \mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma^{1/2}} \circ \Phi^\dagger \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi(\sigma)^{-1/2}}$.

Lemma 1.2. *([7]) Let ρ and σ be two quantum states on $\mathcal{H}$, Φ be a stochastic quantum operation. Then*

$$S(\Phi(\rho)\|\Phi(\sigma)) \leqslant S(\rho\|\sigma).$$

2 The Main Results and Proofs

In general, we do not know whether a quantum operation will increase or decrease the von Neumann entropy. However, if it is bi-stochastic, then it does not decrease the entropy. That is, if Φ is a bi-stochastic quantum operation, then $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) \geqslant \mathsf{S}(\rho)$ for any quantum state ρ. This follows immediately from Lemma 1.2 by letting $\sigma = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Our main results are:

Theorem 2.1. *Let ρ be a quantum state on $\mathcal{H}$, and Φ be a bi-stochastic quantum operation. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{S}(\rho)$.

(ii) $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$.

(iii) The space $\mathcal{H}$ can be decomposed into:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{H}_k^L \otimes \mathcal{H}_k^R.$$

The quantum state ρ can be decomposed into:

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} p_k \rho_k^L \otimes \frac{1}{d_k^R}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R},$$

where $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_K]^\mathsf{T}$ is a probability vector, that is, all components of p are non-negative and their sum is one, $d_k^R = \dim \mathcal{H}_k^R$, ρ_k^L is a quantum state on $\mathcal{H}_k^L$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$.

The bi-stochastic quantum operation Φ can be decomposed into:

$$\Phi = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_k = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathrm{Ad}_{U_k} \otimes \Phi_k^R,$$

where Φ_k is the restriction of Φ to $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L \otimes \mathcal{H}_k^R)$, $\Phi_k = \mathrm{Ad}_{U_k} \otimes \Phi_k^R$, $U_k \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L)$ is a unitary operator and $\Phi_k^R \in T(\mathcal{H}_k^R)$ is a bi-stochastic and completely positive super-operator, $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Proof. $(i) \iff (ii)$. Let $\sigma = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in Lemma 1.1. Then $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma)$ and $\Phi_\sigma^\dagger = \Phi^\dagger$. Note that Φ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 1.1 and $\Phi\left(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right) = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$, so

$$\mathsf{S}\left(\Phi(\rho) \,\|\, \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right) = \mathsf{S}\left(\rho \,\|\, \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)$$

if and only if $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$, which implies that $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{S}(\rho)$ if and only if $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$.

$(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$. Let $\sigma = \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ again. Since Φ is bi-stochastic, we have $\mathrm{supp}(\sigma) = \mathrm{supp}(\Phi(\sigma)) = \mathcal{H}$ and $\Phi_\sigma^\dagger = \Phi^\dagger$. Denote

$$\mathbf{Fix}(\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi) = \{X \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}) : \Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi(X) = X\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.11 in [6] that the space $\mathcal{H}$ has a decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{H}_k^L \otimes \mathcal{H}_k^R,$$

such that

$$\mathbf{Fix}(\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi) = \mathbf{Fix}(\Phi_\sigma^\dagger \circ \Phi) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L)^+ \otimes \omega_k^R,$$

and

$$\Phi(X_k^L \otimes \omega_k^R) = U_k X_k^L U_k^\dagger \otimes \tilde{\omega}_k^R,\ X_k^L \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L),$$

where $U_k \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L)$ is a unitary operator, ω_k^R and $\tilde{\omega}_k^R$ are two invertible quantum states on $\mathcal{H}_k^R$, $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L)^+$ is the set of all positive semi-definite operators in $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L)$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Note that Φ is a bi-stochastic quantum operation, so there is a bi-stochastic quantum operation Φ_k^R on $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^R)$ such that $\Phi_k^R(\omega_k^R) = \tilde{\omega}_k^R$. It follows from $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbf{Fix}(\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi)$ that $\omega_k^R = \tilde{\omega}_k^R = \frac{1}{d_k^R}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R}$, where $d_k^R = \dim \mathcal{H}_k^R$. The quantum state $\rho \in \mathbf{Fix}(\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi)$ implies that

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} p_k \rho_k^L \otimes \frac{1}{d_k^R}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R},$$

where $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_K]^\mathsf{T}$ is a probability vector, ρ_k^L is a quantum state on $\mathcal{H}_k^L$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, and the decomposition of Φ is obtained immediately.

(*iii*) $\Longrightarrow$ (*ii*). Note that $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_k^L)} \otimes (\Phi_k^R)^\dagger \circ \Phi_k^R$ and Φ_k^R is bi-stochastic, we have $\Phi_k^R(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R}$ and $(\Phi_k^R)^\dagger(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H}_k^R}$. Thus, $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$. □

Remark 2.2. We remark here that the completely positivity of Φ in Theorem 2.1 can be relaxed to be 2-positivity since Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2 hold in that case.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Φ and Ψ be two quantum operations on $\mathcal{H}$, Φ be bi-stochastic and Ψ be stochastic. Then $S^{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi \circ \Psi) = S^{\mathrm{map}}(\Psi)$ if and only if $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi \circ \Psi = \Psi$.*

Proof. It follows from $\mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi \circ \Psi) = \mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{map}}(\Psi)$ that $\mathsf{S}(\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})}(\frac{1}{N}J(\Psi))) = \mathsf{S}(\frac{1}{N}J(\Psi))$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, $\mathsf{S}(\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})}(\frac{1}{N}J(\Psi))) = \mathsf{S}(\frac{1}{N}J(\Psi))$ holds if and only if $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})}(J(\Psi)) = J(\Psi)$, that is,

$$\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi \circ \Psi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})}(|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|) = \Psi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})}(|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|).$$

Note that $J(\Psi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \Psi(|i\rangle\langle j|) \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|$, so we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi \circ \Psi(|i\rangle\langle j|) \otimes |i\rangle\langle j| = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \Psi(|i\rangle\langle j|) \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|,$$

which implies that $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi \circ \Psi(|i\rangle\langle j|) = \Psi(|i\rangle\langle j|)$ for all i, j, this shows that $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi \circ \Psi = \Psi$. □

3 An Application

If $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_N]^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $q = [q_1, \ldots, q_N]^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are two probability vectors, the *Shannon entropy* of p and the *relative entropy* of p and q are defined by $\mathsf{H}(p) = -\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \log_2 p_i$ and $\mathsf{H}(p\|q) = \sum_i p_i(\log_2 p_i - \log_2 q_i)$, respectively, where $0 \log_2 0 = 0$ [4, 8]).

Let $B = [b_{ij}]$ be an $N \times N$ matrix, if $b_{ij} \geqslant 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} = 1$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, N$, then $B = [b_{ij}]$ is said to be *stochastic*; if $B = [b_{ij}]$ is stochastic and $\sum_{j=1}^N b_{ij} = 1$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, N$, then B is said to be *bi-stochastic*. Let B be a bi-stochastic $N \times N$ matrix, p be an N-dimensional probability vector. Then Bp is also an N-dimensional probability vector. In [9], A. Poritz and J. Poritz showed that B preserves the Shannon entropy of p, that is, $\mathsf{H}(Bp) = \mathsf{H}(p)$, if and only if $B^\mathsf{T} Bp = p$.

Now, we apply Theorem 2.1 to prove the conclusion again. Firstly, we need the following notion:

Let Φ be a stochastic quantum operation and $\Phi = \sum_\mu \mathrm{Ad}_{M_\mu}$ be its Kraus representation. Define the *Kraus matrix* $B(\Phi)$ of Φ by $B(\Phi) = \sum_\mu M_\mu \bullet \overline{M_\mu}$, where $\bullet$ denotes the Shur product of matrices, that is, the entrywise product of two matrices, and $\overline{M_\mu}$ is the complex conjugate of M_μ. It is easy to show that $B(\Phi)$ is a stochastic matrix if Φ is a stochastic quantum operation on $\mathcal{H}$, and $B(\Phi)$ is a bi-stochastic matrix if Φ is a bi-stochastic quantum operation on $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $B(\Phi^\dagger) = B(\Phi)^\mathsf{T}$ [10].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{|i\rangle : i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$, Φ be a bi-stochastic quantum operation, ρ be a quantum state on $\mathcal{H}$, $\sigma = \Phi(\rho)$, $p_j = \langle j|\rho|j\rangle, q_j = \langle j|\sigma|j\rangle, j = 1, \ldots, N$, $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_N]^\mathsf{T}$, $q = [q_1, \ldots, q_N]^\mathsf{T}$, where all p_j are the eigenvalues of ρ. Then p and q are two N-dimensional probability vectors and $q = B(\Phi)p$. Conversely, if p, q are two N-dimensional probability vectors and T is a bi-stochastic $N \times N$ matrix such that $q = Tp$, then there exists a bi-stochastic quantum operation Φ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $T = B(\Phi)$ and $\sigma = \Phi(\rho)$.*

Proof. If $\Phi = \sum_\mu \mathrm{Ad}_{M_\mu}$ is a Kraus representation of Φ, then

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= \langle i|\Phi(\rho)|i\rangle = \sum_\mu \langle i|M_\mu \rho M_\mu^\dagger|i\rangle = \sum_\mu \sum_{j=1}^N \langle i|M_\mu|j\rangle\langle j|\rho|j\rangle\langle j|M_\mu^\dagger|i\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_\mu \langle i|M_\mu|j\rangle\overline{\langle i|M_\mu|j\rangle} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle i|B(\Phi)|j\rangle p_j. \end{aligned}$$

That is $q = B(\Phi)p$. Conversely, let $T^\mathsf{T} = [t_{ij}]$. Then we can construct a stochastic quantum operation Φ_T on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $T = B(\Phi_T)$. In fact, $\Phi_T(|i\rangle\langle i|) = \sum_j t_{ij}|j\rangle\langle j|$ defines a stochastic quantum operation, and for $\rho = \sum_i p_i|i\rangle\langle i|, \sigma = \sum_j (Tp)_j|j\rangle\langle j|$, they satisfy that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_T(\rho) &= \sum_i p_i \Phi_T(|i\rangle\langle i|) = \sum_i p_i \sum_j t_{ij}|j\rangle\langle j| \\ &= \sum_j (\sum_i p_i t_{ij})|j\rangle\langle j| = \sum_j (Tp)_j|j\rangle\langle j| = \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let p be an N-dimensional probability vector and B be an $N \times N$ bi-stochastic matrix. Then $\mathsf{H}(Bp) = \mathsf{H}(p)$ if and only if $B^{\mathsf{T}}Bp = p$.*

Proof. $\Longleftarrow$ is clear.

$\Longrightarrow$. Let $p = [p_1, \ldots, p_N]^{\mathsf{T}}, q = Bp = [q_1, \ldots, q_N]^{\mathsf{T}}$, $\{|i\rangle : i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$. Define

$$\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j |j\rangle\langle j|, \quad \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_j |j\rangle\langle j|.$$

Since B is a bi-stochastic matrix, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists a bi-stochastic quantum operation on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\sigma = \Phi(\rho)$, $B = B(\Phi)$. That $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{H}(Bp)$ and $\mathsf{S}(\rho) = \mathsf{H}(p)$ is clear. Note that $\mathsf{H}(Bp) = \mathsf{H}(p)$ implies that $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{S}(\rho)$. By Theorem 2.1 that $\mathsf{S}(\Phi(\rho)) = \mathsf{S}(\rho)$ if and only if $\Phi^\dagger \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho$, combining this fact with $\sigma = \Phi(\rho)$, we have $\Phi^\dagger(\sigma) = \rho$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 again that $q = B(\Phi)p$, $p = B(\Phi^\dagger)q = B(\Phi)^{\mathsf{T}}q$. This shows that $p = B(\Phi)^{\mathsf{T}}B(\Phi)p$, that is, $p = B^{\mathsf{T}}Bp$ since $B = B(\Phi)$. ☐

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their thanks to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. This project is supported by Natural Science Foundations of China (11171301, 10771191 and 10471124) and Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province of China (Y6090105).

References

[1] P. Hayden, R. Jozsa, D. Petz and A. Winter: Structure of States Which Satisfy Strong Subadditivity of Quantum Entropy with Equality, Commun. Math. Phys. **246**: 359–374(2004).

[2] W. Roga, M. Fannes and K. Życzkowski: Composition of quantum states and dynamical subadditivity. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 035305 (2008).

[3] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang: Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).

[4] M. Ohya and D. Petz: Quantum Entropy and Its Use, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1993. Second edition 2004.

[5] M. D. Choi: Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, Linear algebra and its applications, **10**:285-290(1975).

[6] F. Hiai, M. Mosonyi, D. Petz and C. Bény: Quantum f-divergence and error correction, Rev. Math. Phys. **23**:691-747(2011).

[7] G. Lindblad: Completely Positive Maps and Entropy Inequalities, Commun. Math. Phys. **40**: 147–151(1975).

[8] C. E. Shannon: A mathematical theory of commnication, Bell Syst. Tech. **27**:379–423(1948).

[9] A. B. Poritz and J. A. Poritz: On entropy-preserving stochastic averages, Linear Algebra and its Applications **434**:1425–1442(2011).

[10] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski: Geometry of quantum states, Cambridge University Press, pp. 315(2006).